

Reversing a Rotaxane Recognition Motif: Threading Oligoethylene Glycol Derivatives through a Dicationic Cyclophane

Sheng-Hsien Chiu and J. Fraser Stoddart*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Los Angeles, 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90095-1569

Received December 4, 2001

Although rotaxanes¹ can now be obtained efficiently using template-directed² protocols that rely upon supramolecular assistance to covalent synthesis,³ there are still a limited number of recognition motifs that can be exploited for the preparation of these interlocked molecular compounds⁴ which hold out so much promise⁵ for the fabrication of actuators, amplifiers, motors, sensors, and switches⁶ at the nanoscale level. Here, we report our recent success in reversing an already well-established⁷ recognition motif— namely, one in which NH₂⁺ centers in the rod sections of the dumbbell components of the rotaxanes are encircled by macrocyclic polyether components.

Certain rodlike components, containing appropriately spaced NH_2^+ centers, are known⁸ to form 1:1 complexes, both in solution and in the solid state with bisparaphenylene[34]crown-10 (BPP34C10). In addition, we have recently reported⁹ that BPP34C10 can encircle a dicationic cyclophane in which two dibenzylammonium ions (DBA⁺) are joined through the para positions on their phenyl rings by $-CH_2CH_2-$ units. In the course of this research, we have discovered that a slightly larger dicationic cyclophane, where the two cross-DBA⁺ links are $-CH_2OCH_2-$ units, can be threaded by molecules that contain oligoethylene glycol chains, resulting in the formation of 1:1 complexes of the [2]pseudorotaxane type. In essence, the original recognition motif (Figure 1) has been turned simultaneously outside-in and inside-out, a fact that has been proved beyond any doubt by the stoppering of both ends of the rod component in the [2]pseudorotaxane to give a stable [2]rotaxane.



Figure 1. Reversal of a recognition motif at the [2]pseudorotaxane level and the subsequent trapping of it as a [2]rotaxane.

When the diol 1^{10} was reacted (Scheme 1) with the dichloride 2^{11} at high dilution in THF under basic conditions (NaH) and the product was subjected to Boc-deprotection (TFA/CH₂Cl₂), followed by counterion exchange (NH₄PF₆/H₂O), the dicationic cyclophane $3-2H-2PF_6$ was isolated in a yield of 15% overall. An inspection of space-filling molecular models suggested that a hexaethylene glycol chain provides an appropriate number of acceptor oxygen atoms to allow it to form the maximum number of hydrogen bonds with donor hydrogen atoms on each of the two NH₂⁺ centers in



3-2H⁺. Accordingly, the dibromide **4** was prepared by reacting (NaH/DMF) hexaethylene glycol with a 5-fold excess of 1,4-bis-(bromomethyl)benzene. When **3**-2H·2PF₆ and **4** were mixed in equimolar (10 mM) amounts in CD₃NO₂, dramatic shifts were observed (Figure 2b) in the ¹H NMR spectrum recorded at 298 K for the signals associated with the CH₂N⁺ and CH₂O groups, as compared with those recorded for the relevant groups in the free cyclophane **3**-2H·2PF₆ (Figure 2a) and in the free dibromide **4** (Figure 2c). Not only is the signal for the CH₂N⁺ protons (**H**_d)



Figure 2. ¹H NMR spectra (500 MHz/CD₃NO₂/10 mM/298 K) of (a) cyclophane **3**-2H·2PF₆, (b) a mixture of cyclophane **3**-2H·2PF₆ and thread **4**, and (c) thread **4**.

shifted upfield by 0.34 ppm, but the "tight" multiplet (δ 3.50-3.65) for the six constitutionally heterotopic pairs of OCH₂ protons within the three different $-OCH_2CH_2O-$ units of 4 is dispersed out over a wide chemical shift range (δ 2.70–3.80), revealing all six signals well-resolved from each other. The chemical shifts of the signals for both the CH₂N⁺ and CH₂O protons are highly temperature dependent. Raising the temperature decreases complexation, while lowering it increases complexation. When ¹H NMR titration experiments were carried out at 298 K in CD₃NO₂ with the three most high field CH₂O proton signals as probes, an average binding constant of 2900 \pm 750 M⁻¹ was obtained.¹¹ To establish the existence of the [2]pseudorotaxane $3-2H^+ \supset 4$ in solution, an excess of PPh3 was added to a solution (MeNO2/MeCN, 4:1) of 3-2H·2PF₆ (150 mM) and 4 (50 mM), according to a literature procedure.¹² Following counterion exchange (NH₄PF₆/H₂O) and column chromatography (SiO₂:MeCN/CH₂Cl₂, 3:7) the [2]rotaxane¹³ **5**-2H·4PF₆ was isolated, along with the dumbbell compound 6.2PF₆ in 58 and 31% yields, respectively. The ¹H NMR spectra of 5-2H·4PF₆ and 6·2PF₆ in CD₃NO₂ (10 mM) at 298 K are shown in Figures 3c and 3a, respectively. The spectrum of the [2]rotaxane 5-2H·4PF₆ is very different from that recorded (see Supporting Information) after mixing the cyclophane $3-2H\cdot 2PF_6$ and the dumbbell compound $6.2PF_6$ together under the same conditions (10 mM in CD₃NO₂ at 298 K). Also, an equimolar (10 mM each) mixture of 5-2H·4PF₆ and 6·2PF₆ in CD₃NO₂ at 298 K resulted in an ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure 3b) that corresponds to the superimposition of the two spectra (a and c in Figure 3) for the two separate components. These experiments establish (1) the constitutional authenticity and integrity of the [2]rotaxane and (2) the lack of any interchange with its dumbbell and cyclophane components in solution, i.e., the triphenylphosphonium groups are stable stoppers which could presumably be exchanged for numerous other groups with use of Wittig chemistry.¹⁴



Figure 3. ¹H NMR spectra (500 MHz/CD₃NO₂/10 mM/298 K) of (a) dumbbell 6.2PF6, (b) a mixture of dumbbell 6.2PF6 and [2]rotaxane 5-2H. 4PF₆, and (c) [2]rotaxane 5-2H·4PF₆.

Our demonstration of the feasibility of reversing the already much-studied⁷ recognition motif between the NH_2^+ centers in secondary dialkylammonium ions and the oxygen atoms in crown ethers means that we are now once again in a position to design, synthesize, and characterize a wide range of interlocked molecular compounds and polymers, including those incorporating the omnipresent poly(ethylene glycol)s (PEGs) as their threadlike components. Given the rapidly growing importance¹⁵ of PEG conjugates with biologically active molecules, the ability to adorn them with positively charged beads is an attractive proposition.

Acknowledgment. We thank Dr. Peter T. Glink for useful discussions and both the National Science Foundation (CHE-9910199) and the Petroleum Research Fund, administered by the American Chemical Society, for generous financial support. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under equipment grant no. CHE-9974928.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details for the synthesis of all new compounds and ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and mass spectra (ESMS and FAB) of the [2]rotaxane 5-2H·4PF₆ (PDF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References

- (1) (a) Molecular Catenanes, Rotaxanes and Knots; Sauvage, J.-P., Dietrich-(a) more and a constraint of the second state in the second state in the second state in the second state in the second state is a second state in the second state in the second state in the second state in the second state is a second state in the s 50. (c) Seet, C., Vogue, F. Chem. Lut. J. 2000, 6, 21 24. (d) Taylor, F. N.; O'Connell, M. J.; McNeill, L. A.; Hall, M. J.; Aplin, R. T.; Anderson, H. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3456–3460. (e) Tachibana, Y.; Kihara, N.; Ohga, Y.; Takata, T. Chem. Lett. 2000, 806–807. (f) Ashton, P. R.; Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.; Credi, A.; Dress, K. R.; Ishow, E.; K. K., Baharduhi, K., Balzahi, V., Credi, A., Diess, K. K., Bilow, E., Kleverlaan, C. J.; Kocian, O.; Preece, J. A.; Spencer, N.; Stoddart, J. F.; Venturi, M.; Wenger, S. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 3558–3574. (g) Brouwer, A. M.; Frochot, C.; Gatti, F. G.; Leigh, D. A.; Mottier, L.; Paolucci, F.; Roffia, S.; Wurpel, G. W. H. *Science* **2001**, 291, 2124–2128.
- (2) (a) Templated Organic Synthesis; Diederich, F., Stang, P. J., Eds.; VCH-Wiley: Weinheim, 2000. (b) Sanders, J. K. M. Pure Appl. Chem. 2000, 72, 2265-2274. (c) Greig, L. M.; Philp, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2001, 30, 287 - 302.

- (3) Fyfe, M. C. T.; Stoddart, J. F. Acc. Chem. Res. **1997**, 30, 393-401.
 (4) Amabilino, D. B.; Stoddart, J. F. Chem. Rev. **1995**, 95, 2725-2829.
 (5) (a) Balzani, V.; Credi, A.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart, J. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2000**, 39, 3348-3391. (b) Ballardini, R.; Balzani, V.; Credi, A.; Gandolfi, M. T.; Venturi, M. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2001**, *34*, 445–455. (6) (a) Collier, C. P.; Wong, E. W.; Belohradsky, M.; Raymo, F. M.; Stoddart,
- J. F.; Kuekes, P. J.; Williams, R. S.; Heath, J. R. Science 1999, 285, 391–394. (b) Pease, A. R.; Stoddart, J. F. Struct. Bonding 2001, 99 189–236.
 (a) Fyfe, M. C. T.; Stoddart, J. F. Adv. Supramol. Chem. 1999, 5, 1–53.
- (b) Cantrill, S. J.; Pease, A. R.; Stoddart J. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 3715-3734. (c) Hubin, T. J.; Kolchinski, A. G.; Vance, A. L. Busch, D. H. Adv. Supramol. Chem. **1999**, 5, 237–357. (d) Takata, T.; Kihara, N. Rev. Heteroatom Chem. **2000**, 22, 197–218.
- Ashton, P. R.; Fyfe, M. C. T.; Martínez-Díaz, M.-V.; Menzer, S.; Schiavo, (8)C.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Chem. Eur. J. 1998, 4, 1523-1534.
- (9) Chiu, S.-H.; Pease, A. R.; Stoddart, J. F.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2002**, *41*, 270–274. Ashton, P. R.; Glink, P. T.; Stoddart, J. F.; Tasker, P. A.; White, A. J. P.;
- (10)
- (10) Hallians, D. J. Chem. Eur. J. 1996, 2, 729–736.
 (11) Connors, K. A. Binding Constants; Wiley: New York, 1987.
 (12) (a) Rowan, S. J.; Cantrill, S. J.; Stoddart, J. F. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 129–132. (b) Chang, T.; Heiss, A. M.; Cantrill, S. J.; Fyfe, M. C. T.; Pease, A. R.; Rowan, S. J.; Stoddart, J. F.; Williams, D. J. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2943-
- 4H), 3.55-3.65 (m, 8H), 4.00 (s, 8H), 4.45-4.60 (m, 12H), 4.65 (d, J 4H), 3.55-3.65 (m, 8H), 4.00 (s, 8H), 4.45-4.60 (m, 12H), 4.65 (d, J = 14.4 Hz, 4H), 6.97 (dd, J = 2 Hz, 8 Hz, 4H), 7.20 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H), 7.29 (s, 16H), 7.55-7.65 (m, 12H), 7.65-7.73 (m, 12H), 7.87 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 6H); ^{13}C NMR (CD₃NO₂, 125 MHz): δ 31.4 ($J_{P-C} = 48.9$ Hz), 53.2, 70.7, 71.2, 71.3, 71.7, 71.8, 72.0, 73.5, 118.7 ($J_{P-C} = 8.8$ Hz), 128.0 ($J_{P-C} = 8.4$ Hz), 129.2, 130.0 ($J_{P-C} = 3.1$ Hz), 130.7, 131.5 ($J_{P-C} = 12.5$ Hz), 132.5 ($J_{P-C} = 4.0$ Hz), 135.5 ($J_{P-C} = 9.6$ Hz), 130.6 ($J_{P-C} = 9.6$ Hz), 136.8 ($J_{P-C} = 2.9$ Hz), 140.6 ($J_{P-C} = 4.0$ Hz), 141.2 (one signal is "missing", presumably because of two overlapping signals); MS (FAB) m/z 1783 [M – H – $2PF_6$]⁺ and 1637 [M – 2H – $3PF_6$]⁺; MS (ESMS) m/z = 892 [M – $2PF_6$]²⁺, 820 [M – H – $3PF_6$]²⁺, 746 [M – 2H – $4PF_6$]²⁺. (14) Rowan, S. J.; Stoddart, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2000**, 122, 164–165. (15) (a) Poly(ethylene glycol): Chemistry and Biological Applications: Zalipsky
- (a) Poly(ethylene glycol): Chemistry and Biological Applications; Zalipsky S., Harris, J. M., Eds.; Am. Chem. Soc. Symp. Ser. No. 680; American Chemical Society: Washington, DC, 1997. (b) Blackall, D. P.; Armstrong, J. K.; Meiseiman, H. J.; Fisher, T. C. Blood 2001, 15, 551-556.

JA012654T